

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

STEVEN EDWARD MEDOWS,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:07-409-HFF-BHH
	§	
THE CITY OF CAYCE and	§	
NFN KENLEY, Detective with the Cayce	§	
Police Department,	§	
Defendants.	§	

## **ORDER**

This case was filed as a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on February 28, 2008, and the Clerk of Court entered

Plaintiff's objections to the Report on March 14, 2008. The Clerk of Court entered a second

objection memorandum on May 14, 2008. This Court has reviewed Plaintiff's objections, but finds

them to be without merit. As stated by the Magistrate Judge, Plaintiff fails to satisfy the elements

of false arrest and malicious prosecution. Because Plaintiff's federal claims are dismissed, the Court

declines to exercise jurisdiction over any state law claims Plaintiff may have against Defendants.

After a thorough review of the Report, the objections thereto, and the record in this case

pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court overrules Plaintiff's objections, adopts the Report

and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that Defendants' motion for

summary judgment be **GRANTED**. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is deemed **MOOT**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 24th day of June, 2008, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 30 days from the date

hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2